## EXHIBIT

19N9POWS UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 2 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 3 10 CR 0243 (VM) v. 4 DISTRICT FREDERIC POWELL, 5 OCT 26 199 Defendant. 6 7 New York, N.Y. September 23, 2011 8 9:11 a.m. 9 10 Before: 11 HON. VICTOR MARRERO 12 District Judge 13 **APPEARANCES** 14 PREET BHARARA 15 United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York 16 JUSTIN ANDERSON Assistant United States Attorney 17 COLLINS, McDONALD & GANN 18 Attorney for Defendant DANIEL W. RUSSO 19 ALSO PRESENT: BRYAN TREBELHORN, FBI 20 21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(In open court)

THE COURT: Good morning. Thank you. Be seated.

This is a proceeding in the matter of United States v. Powell docket number 10 CR 0243.

Counsel please enter your appearances for the record.

MR. ANDERSON: Good morning, Judge. Justin Anderson for the government and joined at counsel table by Special Agent Bryan Trebelhorn of the FBI.

MR. RUSSO: Good morning, your Honor. Dan Russo, Collins, McDonald & Gann on behalf of Mr. Powell.

THE COURT: Good morning.

The court notes for the record that the defendant is present in the courtroom seated next to his attorney.

This proceeding is an adjournment of the sentencing of the defendant which had been scheduled a week ago. And the court rescheduled it in order to allow Mr. Powell to deal with a migraine that he had when he was here last week.

Mr. Russo, is the defendant ready to proceed today?

MR. RUSSO: We are prepared to proceed, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

I have read and reviewed the presentence investigation report dated September 20, 2011, which was prepared in connection with today's sentencing of Mr. Powell. I have also read the submissions of defense counsel dated September 7, 2011 and the letters attached to that submission as well as the

Magistrate Judge Maas to Count One of indictment number 10 CR

25

0243 which charged him with conspiracy to commit wire and bank fraud in violation of 18 U.S.C. Section 1349. By order dated May 26, 2011 the court found that Mr. Powell entered a plea of guilty knowingly and voluntarily, and that there was a factual basis for the guilty plea. The court now reiterates its acceptance of Mr. Powell's guilty plea.

Mr. Anderson, does the government have any additional comments for the court's consideration in connection with sentencing?

MR. ANDERSON: No, your Honor.

I would just emphasize that the two principal points of the government's submission which is that this crime was significant both in terms of the time span -- it took place across three years -- in terms of the number of banks that were victimized and in terms of the loss to those banks.

And also that this conduct of the defendant took place while he was committing other crimes, state crimes in I believe Nassau County related to another type of fraud or theft scheme out there as well as the bribery of a public official. And in light of that, the government recommends that a guideline sentence be imposed.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Russo, do you have any additional comments for the court's consideration in connection with sentencing?

MR. RUSSO: I do, your Honor.

20 ·

First and foremost, I'd like to thank the court for having read the submission that was presented on Mr. Powell's behalf. In light of that, Judge, I'm certainly going to be brief. I don't want to rehash it.

I do believe, your Honor, that the letters that were submitted to the court on behalf of Mr. Powell, those of his wife and his children as well as his colleagues and his family, do paint somewhat of a -- for lack of a better term, Judge, a tragic story here. As your Honor is aware and as this court is aware, Mr. Powell was a successful practicing attorney for the better part of his adult life before these allegations and those in Nassau and Suffolk arose, judge. He built a successful practice, one that was built not only on the service to his clients but on the ethics and morals that all of us in this room have sworn to protect and hold dear.

Judge, I make no excuses for Mr. Powell. He did go awry of those morals and those ethics, Judge, and I just want to point out for your Honor that I think it came at a time in Mr. Powell's life where his practice and the market, that being of the real estate market that he so heavily relied on, began to unfold around him. He watched as his investments and his savings began to crumble and frankly, judge, he acted as a desperate man.

But I do wish to submit to the court, judge, that

Mr. Powell is not a hardened criminal. If you do look at --

and I do acknowledge, and I have no objection to the fact that Mr. Powell is, in fact, a category II, but I do think it is important to point out to your Honor that the crimes in Nassau County as well as the crimes that we find ourselves here before your Honor are an indication of that desperate time and of those desperate measures, Judge.

Judge, I'd also like to point out, while it is not an objection to the presentence investigation report, that there was something included regarding other criminal conduct that took place recently and was submitted and I did make an initial objection to it. I just want to note, Judge, for the record that I personally spoke to the assistant district attorney assigned in that case and while there was an investigation, and frankly a thorough one, the district attorney in Nassau County who has prosecuted Mr. Powell before, as you are aware, Judge, chose not to do so. And I think it's important to point that out and ask your Honor not to weigh heavily on the alleged other criminal conduct here.

Judge, Mr. Powell's wife and his daughter join us in the courtroom today. I would make an application to the court, if the court is so inclined, to allow Mrs. Powell to say a few words. But I do believe, your Honor, that the letters submitted on Mr. Powell's behalf do indicate a family man, do indicate a loving and caring father as well as a loving and caring husband who worked hard up until 2006 to build his

practice, to build a professional reputation, solely on behalf of his family so that he could take care of his wife and so that he could take care of his two biological children and his stepson as well.

Judge, the reason why I point that out is because I do believe those are traits of someone who is not at risk of being a repeat offender, who is not at risk of becoming a recidivist, Judge.

Finally, your Honor, the application to the court, understanding that the guideline sentence here, the guideline range here is 46 to 57, months, Judge, I'm going to ask your Honor to depart from those guidelines in that, I believe, Judge, that the average sentence on a fraud case pursuant to the United States Sentencing Commission is somewhere in the range of 30 to 40 months, your Honor, and that's across the country. I do not believe that this case is one-and-a-half times worse than that, Judge. I do think that a sentence somewhere in the range of 30 months or 32 months would be enough time, certainly, to deter Mr. Powell and not offend the statute, not offend this court. And I'm respectfully requesting somewhere along those lines, Judge.

Furthermore, for the purpose of efficiency, your

Honor, if you are so inclined to incarcerate -- to sentence

Mr. Powell to a term of incarceration, I would ask the court

respectfully to consider making a recommendation that

Mr. Powell serve his incarceration period at Otisville Correctional Facility. My client and I are both under the impression that Otisville will allow Mr. Powell to practice his religion unabated, and I respectfully ask for that consideration.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Mrs. Powell may say a few words. I do have the letters written on behalf of Mr. Powell by his family, but if you wish to say a few words, you may do so.

MRS. POWELL: Your Honor, I'm hoping you'll be lenient on my husband, Fred. He's a wonderful person. I've known him for a long time. We've been married almost 19 years. He has a very good heart. He is a wonderful father. And he could never hurt anyone. He's always been there for me. And he's very remorseful. And on top of everything, Fred suffers from depression. And I did see a change in his personality right before all of this occurred. He's -- I don't know if he was given the wrong medication for his problem, but I did see a change in his personality. And I'm very concerned about Fred, you know, with his chemical imbalance, how he's going to manage in the prison. And anyway thank you for everything. And I hope you will consider everything I've said. And he is very remorseful. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mrs. Powell.

Mr. Powell, please rise. Is there anything you would

like to say on your behalf before the court imposes sentence?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. I've committed sins. I know it. I've made mistakes, which I deeply regret. I've made my peace with God and I realize that I'm about to make my peace with man.

I am so sorry about the things I've done and I want to apologize to the legal community of which I was a proud member for 27 years. And I want to apologize to my clients that I did not represent properly. And mostly, I'd like to apologize to my family for not being able to be there for them for the next period of time, to my daughter, Heather and my wife, Robin who I've known since 1984 and who I've loved ever since I first met her. And I can't believe that I won't be able to help them. And I want to thank her so much for standing by me in my hard in troubled times. And I think she's a wonderful person for doing so.

And I also, you know, would like to say that I'm going to work to become a better person when I go away and I'm never, ever going to do the things I did again.

And I would also like to repeat what my attorney said about being near my family and my friends and I'm hoping that your Honor could recommend that I go to Otisville where I could also practice my religion and see my family as often as possible.

THE COURT: Thank you.

You may be seated.

In accordance with the United States Supreme Court decision in United States v. Booker, while the United States sentencing guidelines are not mandatory, the court nonetheless must consult those guidelines and take them into account when sentencing. Therefore, the court has considered the findings of fact stated in the presentence report, as well as the guidelines analysis and the recommendations contained therein. The court has weighed this information along with the factors listed in 18 U.S.C. Section 3553(a) in coming to its final sentencing decision in this case.

The court adopts the factual recitation in the presentence investigation report. Therefore, the court finds that under the guidelines, Mr. Powell's offense level amounts to 22, and his criminal history category falls into category II. The recommended range of imprisonment for this offense level and criminal history category is 46 to 57 months.

The probation office has recommended a sentence of 51 months.

Mr. Powell pled guilty to one count of conspiracy to commit wire and bank fraud. Subsection (a)(1) of 18 U.S.C. Section 3553 requires that courts take into consideration the nature and circumstances of the offense and the history and characteristics of the defendant. Subsection (a)(2) of 18 U.S.C. Section 3553 requires that the court consider the need

for the sentence to promote certain objectives of the criminal justice system, namely: Punishment, specific and general deterrence, and rehabilitation. Section (a)(6) of 18 U.S.C. Section 3553 highlights the need to avoid unwarranted sentencing disparities among defendants with similar records who have been found guilty of similar conduct in other cases as well as in this case.

Mr. Powell, please rise.

Taking into account the nature and circumstances of the offense and the history and characteristics of the defendant, and considering all of the factors listed in 18 U.S.C. section 3553(a), the court finds that a sentence of 48 months of imprisonment is reasonable and appropriate and that such a sentence and such a term is sufficient but not greater than necessary to promote the proper objectives of sentencing.

In making this sentencing, I take into account that Mr. Powell's crimes are exceedingly serious in nature, causing the loss of in excess of \$1.8 million to his victims, yielding proceeds in excess of \$9 million. The conspiracy was long-standing, lasting from 2004 to 2007 and involving at least 14 properties.

Mr. Russo, you make reference to desperate times that may have prompted Mr. Powell's conduct. I call to your attention that the desperate economic times that you referred to and presumably the drop in the market in recent years may

1.6

1.8

of much more recent vintage, 2008 roughly when the market collapsed. Mr. Powell's conduct here began, according to the facts, in 2004 and continued, continued at least through 2007. Mr. Powell's other criminal behavior has been consistent in other conduct for over the last seven years. So I do not for a moment accept that this is all due to desperate times. In fact, during this period from 2004 and thereafter the economy saw its most rapid growth which, indeed, created the atmosphere of greed that led to the desperate times that subsequently followed.

I also heard your statement that the average sentence for fraud in this -- across the country is 30 to 40 months. I do not see this case as average in several respects, one of which is, as I indicated, Mr. Powell was engaging in this criminal conduct for at least three years, a very complicated scheme. This was no aberration, one-time circumstance.

The amount of money involved was very, very large.

And, in addition, Mr. Powell was wanted involving -involved with this criminal conduct, involved in either some
continued or subsequent criminal behavior of a like manner,
including corruption of public officials.

Second, this case is not typical in that the defendant here is not just an average individual involved in an average fraud. Mr. Powell was an officer of this court, took an oath

2.0

to abide by the law and to engage in ethical behavior consistent with the highest standards of our profession.

Mr. Powell, upon your release from imprisonment you shall be placed on supervised release for a term of three years.

I will not impose a fine as the court has determined that your resources should be used to pay restitution.

However, you are ordered to pay to the United States a mandatory special assessment of one hundred dollars which shall be due immediately. Additionally, you must forfeit your interest in property pursuant to the terms of the agreed-upon forfeiture order which the court will endorse.

It is further ordered that you shall make restitution jointly/severally with your coconspirators, codefendant, Vaughan Richmond and Marilyn John payable to the clerk of the Southern District of New York for disbursements to the following institutions in the amounts indicated in the restitution order: Bank of New York, \$372,008; Bank of America, \$141,500; Fremont, \$401,000; Aurora Bank, \$920,001; and City National Bank, \$44,521.26.

You shall make restitution to such institutions except that no further payment shall be required after the sum of the amounts actually paid by all of the defendants has been fully recovered by all of the compensable injuries. Any payment you shall make shall be divided among the persons made in

proportion to their compensable injuries.

The restitution shall be paid in monthly installments of ten percent gross monthly income over a period of supervision to commence 30 days after the date of your release from custody.

You must notify the United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York within 30 days of any change of mailing or residence address that occurs while any portion of the restitution remains unpaid.

If you are engaged in a BOP non-UNICOR work program, you shall pay \$25 per quarter towards the criminal financial penalties. However, if you participate in the BOP's UNICOR program as a grade 1 through 4, you shall pay 50 percent of your monthly UNICOR earnings towards the criminal financial penalties, consistent with the BOP regulations at 28 CFR 545.11.

You must comply with the standard conditions 1 through
13 of supervised release and the following mandatory
conditions.

You shall not commit another federal, state, or local crime.

You shall not illegally possess a controlled substance.

You shall not possess a firearm or destructive device.

The mandatory drug testing condition is suspended

2.2

based on the Court's determination that you pose a low risk of future abuse.

In addition, you shall obey the following special condition. You shall provide the probation officer with access to any requested financial information.

You shall not incur any new credit card charges or open additional lines of credit without the approval of the probation officer unless you are in compliance with the installment payment schedule.

And you shall submit your person, residence, place of business, vehicle, or any other premises under your control to a search on the basis that the probation officer as a reasonable belief that contraband or other evidence of a violation of the conditions of release may be found. The search must be conducted at a reasonable time and in a reasonable manner. Failure to submit to a search may be grounds for revocation. You shall inform any other residents that the premises may be subject to search pursuant to this condition.

Mr. Powell, do you understand each of these conditions?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: You shall report to the nearest probation office within 72 hours of release from custody.

The court recommends that you be supervised by the

2

4

3

5 6

7

9

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

23

22

24

25

district of residence.

The court finds that the sentence on Mr. Powell is reasonable in consideration of all of the factors listed in 18 U.S.C. 3555(a).

The sentence as stated is imposed.

Mr. Powell, to the extent you have a right to appeal your sentence and you are unable to pay the cost of an appeal, you have the right to apply for leave to appeal in forma pauperis meaning as a poor person. If you make such a request, the clerk of court must immediately prepare and file a notice of appeal on your behalf.

Do you understand your right to appeal to the extent it may exist?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: The court will recommend that you be placed in custody at Otisville in accordance with your request.

Mr. Anderson, are there any remaining counts or underlying indictments that need to be dismissed at this time?

MR. ANDERSON: There are not, your Honor.

THE COURT: Is there anything else from the government?

MR. ANDERSON: No. Thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: Mr. Russo, does the defendant propose a date for surrender?

MR. RUSSO: Judge, would November 7 or 8 be possible?

THE COURT: Mr. Anderson?

MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor I have no objection to that. He's kept all of his appearances in court up to even last week when he was sick.

THE COURT: All right. The court will direct you,
Mr. Powell, to surrender to the facility designated by the
Bureau of Prisons not later than noon on November 8, 2011. And
if no facility has been designated by that date, you are
ordered to surrender to the United States Marshal for this
district.

Do you understand that failure to comply with this order and to so surrender will subject you to prosecution for an offense separate and apart of that for which you have just been sentenced?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Anderson, are there any changes in the bail conditions of the defendant?

MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, may I have one moment?

THE COURT: Yes.

(Pause)

MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, the government recommends that the defendant's -- or the terms of his release pending his incarceration remain unchanged.

THE COURT: The court will accept that recommendation.

Mr. Powell, do you understand that the bail conditions

that have applied to date remain in effect and that any violation of those conditions could result in severe consequences?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Is there anything else, Mr. Russo?

MR. RUSSO: Nothing further, Judge. Thank you to the court.

THE COURT: Thank you.

(Adjourned)